



## Diatoms (Bacillariophyta) as bio-indicators

Ebtesam Kadem Khudher\*, Ahmed Sabah AL-Jasimee

Department of Biology, College of Science, University of Al-Qadisiyah, Iraq



### Article History:

Received on: 13.08.2018  
Revised on: 20.04.2019  
Accepted on: 24.04.2019

### Keywords:

Diatoms,  
Bacillariophyta,  
bioindicators,  
Aquatic,  
freshwater,  
eutrophication

### ABSTRACT

Bio-indicators such as diatoms from algae considered to be key factors in ecological studies as an assessment of freshwater ecology. Algae are very sensitive to environmental changes and reflect the spatiotemporal changes on exists or biomass of diatoms in waters. Diatoms have been used not just for the assessment of water quality, but also can be used as an organic pollution indicator in the freshwater ecosystems, such as algal water bloom. The reason for using diatoms as bio-indicators was for several characteristics such as rapid growth, and represent high biomass in the freshwater ecosystem. Also, diatoms have high biodiversity among the other aquatic biota and energy flow and cycling. Compared with the other aquatic biota, diatoms reflect ecological disturbance due to high sensitivity to light, temperature, water flow, pH, and oxygen content. Additionally, diatoms are used as an assessment of eutrophication, organic pollution and climate change.

### \*Corresponding Author

Name: Ebtesam Kadem Khudher  
Phone:  
Email: [Ebtesam.Kadem@qu.edu.iq](mailto:Ebtesam.Kadem@qu.edu.iq)

ISSN: 0975-7538

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.26452/ijrps.v10i2.1354>

Production and Hosted by

Pharmascope.org

© 2019 Pharmascope Publications. All rights reserved.

## INTRODUCTION

Aquatic organisms play a key role in the assessment of water quality in freshwater bodies (Bunn and P.M., 2000). This is due to the high effectivity of diatoms to reflect water status toward biological or chemical pollution. Poor water quality is not preferable for human services (Karr and E.W., 2000; Bunn and P.M., 2000). Choosing the bioindicator is a key-stone in the assessment of water quality, such as invertebrates, fishes, and diatoms, and this is because of high sensitivity to ecological disturbance (Reid et al., 1995). Algae are used more frequently in the bio-assessment in ecological studies because of the high reproduction rate, short life cycle and spread in different habitats.

Furthermore, very sensitive to chemical and physical changes in addition to pollution (Stevenson and Y., 1999). Mainly, diatoms have been used as a biological assessment for water quality in ponds and rivers around the world (Harding et al., 2005). In Europe such as Germany, Austria, Switzerland, Belgium, France, Poland, Finland, Spain, Portugal and Italy, algae have been used to evaluate water quality (Solak, 2011b), and in USA and Japan (Prygiel, 1999; Rott et al., 2003).

Freshwater organisms have been widely applied in ecological monitoring of water bodies which enable to understand the complicated interface between organism's response for ecological disturbance and their resistance to it (Werner et al., 2003). Additionally, adding pollutant material may cause death to aquatic biota and then can lead to the understanding that there is a toxic material that caused death to that organism. Therefore, algae are valuable in evaluating the health of freshwater (Helfrich and R.J., 2003).

### Characteristics of bio-indicators

Organisms can exhibit unique changes in ecological disturbance (C.C.M.E., 2006; Griffith et al., 2005), therefore, using the biological indicator such as diatoms in the evaluation of water quality can be chosen based on several traits (U.S.-E.P.A., 2002; Bar-

bour *et al.*, 1999).

1. Aquatic biota should reflect the ecological status of water bodies because the ecological disorder can entirely influence the organism.
2. Organisms should be relatively cheap compared with the costs of water quality assessment.
3. Diatoms are highly sensitive to changes in concentrations of nutrients (phosphorus, nitrogen and silica), and they are pollutants for water, and measurable in the laboratories.
4. Diatoms can be high tolerance to pollutants compared with other organisms.
5. The pollution largely influences diatoms because they are autotrophic and perform photosynthesis and their growth affected by nutrient concentration.
6. Diatoms are easily preserved and can be saved as small specimens as a database in the future.
7. The diversity of diatoms makes them important in biodiversity studies.
8. Diatoms produce a crystal material known as silica that gives the high possibility to maintain their self from external conditions.

#### Criteria for choosing bio-indicators:

The aim of using monitoring tools of rivers is to keep the ecosystem healthy against pollution. To understand the ecological disturbance in freshwater habitats, organisms should provide relatively enough evidence regarding that pollution. (Gadzała-Kopciuch *et al.*, 2004) have pointed out to several criteria that can be used to choose a more effective bio-indicator in quality evolution programs:

1. Stable life cycle.
2. Diatoms should be abundant and widespread.
3. Easily to access while collecting samples by simple means.
4. Diatoms should have high tolerance toward pollutants.
5. Diatoms should determine sources of pollution and poor status of water quality.
6. Diatoms should exhibit high effectiveness in monitoring human activities.

Studies have shown that diatoms important indicators in determination pollutants and level of pollution and evaluate whether study sites are polluted or not. Diatoms community may remarkably decrease when pollution is increasing and this case lead to decreasing sensitive species for pollutants and increasing fewer sensitive ones (Szabo *et al.*, 2005; Torrisi *et al.*, 2010; Dell'Uomo and M., 2009). Additionally, (Karel and S., 2006; Dell'Uomo and M., 2009) have indicated that some species of diatoms have been used to quantify ecological changes such as organic pollution, pH, salinity and biological oxygen demand...etc. It has been suggested that using benthic diatoms are important to evaluate water quality (Raunio, 2007; Martin *et al.*, 2010). They have concluded that benthic diatoms can be applied effectively in determining water quality and pollution in surface water.

#### Diatoms as bioindicators:

Algae, especially diatoms, possess a wide range of traits that make them important in bio-assessment of water quality. Therefore, ecological studies have focused on diatoms (Wanomar, 2010). These organisms have been used across the world (Ndiritu *et al.*, 2003; J.C. *et al.*, 2005; Taylor *et al.*, 2007). Diatoms give a holistic view of the water status of catchments over the long period. Diatoms can be used to quantify pollution such as organic pollution, eutrophication, and heavy metals (536, 2005; J.C. *et al.*, 2005; V, 2009), as well as diatoms good indicators for chemical and physical parameters of catchment areas (Armstrong *et al.*, 2005).

Diatoms have features that make them essential in ecological studies, features are (Barbour *et al.*, 1999; Delarey *et al.*, 2004; J.C. *et al.*, 2005).

1. Diatoms can be found at all catchment area, and not like other freshwater organisms.
2. They are very sensitive to the pollution, which can tolerate high concentration of pollutants that not tolerant by other organisms.
3. Short life cycle gives more generations with rapid growth. Diatoms also exhibit a rapid response to ecological disturbance. Additionally, diatoms can re-settle their habitats when the disturbance is gone.

Several studies have used diatoms as bio-indicators, studies such as (Tison *et al.*, 2008) (Szabó *et al.*, 2004) (Stenger-Kovács *et al.*, 2007) (Ács *et al.*, 2009) (Dumnicka *et al.*, 2006) (Wojtal and J., 2009) (Resende *et al.*, 2010) (Karacaoğlu *et al.*, 2008) (Dalkiran *et al.*, 2008) and (Solak, 2011a).

### Types of Diatoms indices:

There are a variety of indices that can work by diatoms and apply these indices to quantify water quality, such as:

1. Diatomic Index DI (Descy, 1979).
2. Diversity index (H), (Boyed, 1980).
3. Diatoms assemblage index (DAI), (Watanabe *et al.*, 1988).
4. Generic Diatoms index (GDI), (Coste *et al.*, 1991).
5. Trophic diatoms index (TDI), (Kelly *et al.*, 2001).
6. Biological diatoms index (BDI) and Specific pollution index (SPI). (Jonge *et al.*, 2008).

Sodic conductivity index for Lake (SCIL), (Ács, 2007).

### REFERENCES

2005. River Health Programme State of river Report Monitoring and Managing the ecological state of the river in the Crocodile (west).

Ács, E. 2007. Spatial and temporal change of epiphytic algae and their connection with the ecological condition of swallow Lake Velencei -To (Hungary). *Acta Biol. Debr., Oecol. Hung.*, 17:9–111.

Ács, E., Borics, G., Fehér, G., Kiss, K. T., Reskóne, N. M., Stenger-Kovács, C., Vábriró, G. 2009. Implementation of the European Water Framework Directive to assess the water quality of Hungarian running waters with diatoms. *Datomededelingen*, 33:29–33.

Armstrong, H., Brasier, M.D 2005. Massachusetts Black well Publishing.

Barbour, M. T., Gerritsen, J., Snyder, B. S., B, J. 1999. *Rapid bioassessment protocols for use in the stream and wadeable rivers: periphyton, macroinvertebrates and fish.* Washington, D.C.

Boyed, C. 1980. Water quality in warm water fish ponds. Craftmaster printers.

Bunn, S. D. P.M. 2000. Biological processes in running waters and their.

C.C.M.E. 2006. Development biocriteria as water quality assessment tool in Canada: scoping assessment. P. 1350.

Coste, M., Ayphassorha, H 1991. Etude de des du Bassin Artois Dicardie al aide des communantes de diatomees benthiques (Application des indices diatomques).Raport cemagref. – Bordeaux – Agence de IEau ,Artois – Picardie ,Douai, 277.

Dalkıran, Karacaoğlu, Dere, Şentürk, *et al.* 2008. Orhaneli Çayı'nın Kirlilik Düzeyinin Diyatomelere Dayandırılarak Saptanması. page 228, Trabzon.

Delarey, P. A., Taylor, J. C., Laas, A., Rensburg, L. V., Vosloo, A. 2004. Determining the possible application value of diatoms as indicators of general water quality –A comparison with SASS 5. *Water SA*, 30(3):325–332.

Dell'Uomo, A. T. M. 2009. Freshwater algae and their usefulness in safeguarding the Mediterranean basin. *Bocconeia*, 23:5–17.

Descy, J. P. 1979. A new approach to water quality estimation using diatoms. *Nova Hedwigia, Heft*, 64:305–323.

Dumnicka, E., Jelonek, M., Kwandrans, J., Wojtal, A. Z., R. 2006. Ichthyofauna and ecological status of the waters of the Vistula, Raba, Dunajec and. Wisloka. Institute of Nature Conservation, Polish Academy of Science, Krakow, pages 1–220.

Gadzała-Kopciuch, R. B., Bartoszewicz, B., Buszewski, J., B. 2004. Somegeneral water.

Griffith, M., Hill, B., McCormick, F., Kaufmann, P., Herlihy, A. 2005. Comparative application of indices of biotic integrity based on periphyton, macroinvertebrates, and fish to southern Rocky Mountain streams. *Ecological Indicators*, 5:117–136.

Harding, Archibald, Taylor, W.R, C.G, J.C 2005. The relevance of diatoms for water quality assessment in South Africa A Position paper. *Water*, 1(41 – 46).

Helfrich, L. N. R.J. 2003. Sustaining America's Aquatic Biodiversity freshwater Mussel: biodiversity and conservation. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

J.C., T., W.R., H., Van, A. G., R. 2005. Diatoms as indicators of water quality in the Jukskei-, Crocodile River system in 1956 and 1957, a re-analysis of diatom count data generated by BJ Cholnoky. *Water SA*, 31(2):1–10.

Jonge, M. D., Vijver, B., Blust, R. B., L. 2008. Responses of aquatic organisms to metal pollution in a lowland river in Flanders: A comparison of diatoms and macroinvertebrates. *Science of Total Environment*, 407:615–628.

Karacaoğlu, N., Dalkıran, N., Şand Şentürk Dere, E. 2008. *Emet Çayı'nın Kirlilik Düzeyinin Diyatomelere Dayandırılarak Saptanması.* Trabzon.

Karel, B. K. S. 2006. Macrophytes and diatoms – major results and conclusions from the STAR project. *Hydrobiologia*.

Karr, J. C. E.W. 2000. Sustainable living rivers. *Hydrobiologia*, 422(423):1–14.

- Kelly, M. G., Adams, C., Graves, A. C., Jamieson, J., Krokowski, J., Lycett, E. B., Murray-Bligh, J., Pritchard, S., Wilkins 2001. The trophic diatom index: A user's manual. Revised edition. R&D technical report E2/TR2. Environment Agency. [www.environment.gov.uk](http://www.environment.gov.uk).
- Martin, G., Toja, J., Sala, E. S., Fernández, M., Reyes, I. C., M.A. 2010. Application of the Diatom biotic indices in the Guadalquivir River Basin, a Mediterranean basin. Which one is the most appropriated? *Environ. Monit. Assess*, 170(1-2):519-534.
- Ndiritu, G. G., Gichuki, N., P., Triest, L. 2003. Characterization of environmental gradients using physico-chemical measurements and diatom densities in Nairobi river. Kenya. *Aquatic Ecosystem Health and management*:6-3.
- Prygiel, J. C. 1999. M.(1999). Progress in the use of diatoms for monitoring river in France (In) .use of algae for monitoring rivers III, J.Prygiel. .I., Agence de l'Eau, Artois – Picardie .PP.165, 179.
- Raunio, J. J. 2007. A practical and sensitive approach to extensive river periphyton monitoring: comparative performance of methods and taxonomic levels. *Boreal Environment Research*, 12:55-63.
- Reid, M. A., Tibbitts, J. C., Penny, D. G., PA 1995. The use of diatoms to assess Past and present water quality. *Austral Ecology*, 1(57-64).
- Resende, P. C., Resende, P., Pardal, M., Almeida, S. A., U. 2010. Use of biological indicators to assess the water quality of the UI River (Portugal). *Environ. Monit. Assess*, 170(1-4):535-544.
- Rott, E., Pipp, E., Pfister, P. 2003. Diatom methods developed for river quality assessment in Austria and a cross-check against numerical trophic indication methods used in Europe. *Algological Studies*, 110:91-115.
- Solak, C. A. 2011a. Water Quality Monitoring in European and Turkish Rivers Using Diatoms. *Turkish Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 11:329-337.
- Solak, C. N. 2011b. The application of diatom indices in the Upper Porsuk River, Kütahya-Turkey. *Türk J. Fish. Aquat. Sci*, 11(1):31-36.
- Stenger-Kovács, C., Buczkó, K., É. and Padisák Hajnal, J. 2007. Epiphytic, littoral diatoms as bioindicators of swallow lake trophic status: Trophic Diatom Index for Lakes (TDIL) developed in Hungary. *Hydrobiologia*, 589:141-154.
- Stevenson, R. P. Y. 1999. Assessing Environmental Condition in Rivers and Streams with Diatoms. In: *The Diatoms: Application for The Environmental and Earth Sciences*. Cambridge Books Online, pages 11-40.
- Szabo, K., Kiss, K.t, Taba, Acs, *et al.* 2005. Epiphytic diatoms of the Tisza River Hickory Reservoir and some oxbows of the Tisza river after the cyanide and heavy metal pollution *Acta Botanica Croatia*. V.64, P1 – 46.
- Szabó, K., Kiss, K. T., Ector, L., Ács Kecskés, M., É. 2004. *Benthic diatom flora in a small Hungarian tributary of River Danube*, volume 111.
- Taylor, J. C., Prygiel, J., Vosloo, A., Pieter, A., Rey, D. R., L.V. 2007. Can diatom-based pollution indices be used for biomonitoring in South Africa? A case study of the Crocodile West and Marico water management area. *Hydrobiologia*, 592(1):455-464.
- Tison, J., Giraudel, J.-L. C., M. 2008. Evaluating the ecological status of rivers using an index of ecological distance: An application to Diatom communities. *Ecological Indicators*, 8:285-291.
- Torrise, M., Scuri, S., Dell'Uomo, A. C., M. 2010. Comparative monitoring by means of diatoms, macroinvertebrates and chemical parameters of an Apennine watercourse of central Italy: The river Tenna. *Ecological Indicators*, 10:910-913.
- U.S.-E.P.A. 2002. The United States Environment Protection Agency. Current drinking water Standard: National primary drinking water regulation. pages 816-02.
- V, W. G. W. 2009. The influence of land use on water quality and diatom community structures in urban and agriculturally-stressed rivers. *Water SA*, 35(5):579-594.
- Wanomar, W. M. 2010. of the Algae and Protecting Aquatic Environment, with special Reference to Malaysian freshwater Ecosystem *Tropical life Sci. Res*, 2(51-67).
- Watanabe, T., Asai, K. H., A. 1988. Numerical water quality monitoring of organic pollution using diatom assemblage. pages 123-141, Koenigstein. Koeltz Scientific Books.
- Werner, I., Clark, S. H., E, D. 2003. Biomarker and understanding of aquatic organism responses to environmental stressors. *California Agriculture*, 57(4):110-115.
- Wojtal, A. K. J. 2009. Use of algae for monitoring in Poland: Applicability of Diatom indices for ecological status estimation in rivers of Southern Poland (preliminary results). page 178, Sept., Balatonfüred, Hungary.